

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,192	523,192 07/18/2003		Bruce M. Ruana	RUANA-001CIC	2668	
28661	7590	06/15/2006		EXAMINER		
SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD. 1657 Hwy 395, Suite 202				MAYO, TARA L		
Minden, NV 89423				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3671		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/623,192	RUANA, BRUCE M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tara L. Mayo	3671		

	Tara L. Mayo	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date		·	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	24. Son ottocked Nation of Nan Co		(DTOL 224)
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		impliant Amendment	(P10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a I).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		^	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	M. Pitu	L
		MEREDITH C. PETRAN PRIMARY EXAMINE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant requests withdrawal of the finality of the Office action mailed 11 Jan 2006 on the grounds that all of the claim limitations were not addressed in the final rejection. Upon review of the final rejection, the Examiner finds no err in the final rejection. Specifically, while the claims were not repeated verbatim in the final rejection, all of the claim limitations are met by the art as applied in the final rejection. Therefore, the finality of the last Office action stands.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on 11 May 2006. These drawings are not acceptable because the views shown in Figure 13 are not labeled separately in accordance with 37 CFR 1.84 (u)(1).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

2. Applicant's reply has overcome the prior objection to the Specification under 35 USC §132(a) for new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,192 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's statement that Kobe et al. '382 fail to teach a skin layer having a top surface that is "continuous and flat from said first end to said second end," the Examiner contends that the top surface (24) of the skin layer (21) meets the claim limitations. Specifically, in col. 9 on lines 11 through 19, Kobe et al. '382 teach the stems (28) having a height between 0.254 mm and 1.27 mm. Therefore, in view of Applicants failure to expressly teach a flat top surface or to ascribe any criticality to the claim limitation in the Specification, and further in view of Applicant's reliance solely upon the drawings for support of the claim limitation, the Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims as being unpatentable over the top surface of the skin layer of the device shown by Kobe et al. '382, the upstanding stems of which amount to mere surface irregularities.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tara L. Mayo whose telephone number is 571-272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

09 June 2006

MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK PRIMARY EXAMINER